

Attachment 3

1 Ashley M. Simonsen (Bar No. 275203)
2 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
3 1999 Avenue of the Stars
4 Los Angeles, California 90067-4643
Telephone: + 1 (424) 332-4800
Facsimile: + 1 (424) 332-4749
Email: asimonsen@cov.com

5 Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a
6 Facebook, Inc.; Facebook Holdings, LLC; Facebook
7 Operations, LLC; Facebook Payments, Inc.;
Facebook Technologies, LLC; Instagram, LLC;
Siculus, Inc.; and Mark Elliot Zuckerberg
8 Additional counsel listed on
signature pages

9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

11
12 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT
13 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

14 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

15 ALL ACTIONS

16 MDL NO. 3047

17 Civil Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR:

18 Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

19
20 **META DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES**
AND OBJECTIONS TO STATE
ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S FIRST SET
OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

21
22 Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc., Facebook Payments, Inc., Siculus, Inc., Facebook Operations,
LLC, and Instagram, LLC, (collectively, "Defendants" or "Meta"), by and through their attorneys,
Covington & Burling, LLP, submit their responses and objections to the State Attorneys General's First
Set of Requests for Admission ("Requests") served on February 14, 2025.

23
24 Meta's responses to the Requests are made to the best of its current knowledge, information, and
25 belief. Meta reserves the right to supplement or amend any of its responses should future investigation
indicate that such supplementation or amendment is necessary.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)**RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO ALL REQUESTS**

1 1. Meta objects to Requests that do not describe each category of information with reasonable
2 particularity, and/or are otherwise duplicative, vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and/or
3 disproportionate to the needs of the litigation. Where appropriate, Meta has provided definitions for
4 ambiguous terms contained in these Requests and/or clarified the scope of its response as indicated in the
5 specific objections below.

6 2. Meta objects to the Requests as premature, given the stage of these proceedings. Meta has
7 not completed its investigation or discovery in this case. Accordingly, these responses are based upon the
8 best knowledge, information, and belief of Meta at this time. Meta reserves the right to make further
9 responses if it appears that any omission or error has been made in connection with these responses or that
10 more accurate information has become available. These responses are made without prejudice to the right
11 of Meta to use in later discovery or to present at trial such evidence that may be later discovered or
12 evaluated.

13 3. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent they seek a legal conclusion and/or would require
14 Meta to reach a legal conclusion in order to prepare a response.

15 4. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent that they call for information more appropriately
16 sought through other types of discovery, such as requests for production of documents, special
17 interrogatories, and/or depositions.

18 5. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek confidential or proprietary
19 business information, trade secrets, commercially sensitive information, or confidential personal
20 information of Meta or a non-party. Responsive, non privileged information, if discoverable, will be
21 provided subject to the terms of the Protective Order entered by the Court. Meta reserves the right to seek
22 additional protections beyond those provided in the Protective Order to the extent appropriate for any
23 particularly sensitive information and/or to object to providing such information altogether if, for example,
24
25
26
27
28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 their relevance is substantially outweighed by the risk of harm posed by provision of the information in
2 light of the protections available.

3 6. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek the disclosure of source code
4 and/or information related to source code. Discoverable source code and/or information related to source
5 code will be provided subject to the terms of the Source Code Protective Order entered by the Court on
6 February 27, 2025.

7 7. Meta objects to the Requests and accompanying Definitions and Instructions insofar as
8 they seek to impose obligations in excess of or otherwise different from those imposed by the Federal
9 Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Local Rules of the United States District
10 Court for the Northern District of California, or any other applicable federal or state law. Meta will comply
11 with applicable rules, laws, and court orders.

12 8. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent they purport to impose duties or requirements
13 on Meta beyond those contained in the ESI Order and other orders, rules, or agreements applicable to this
14 case.

15 9. The following responses are made subject to all objections of competence, relevance,
16 materiality, propriety, and admissibility and are subject to all objections that would require the exclusion
17 of any statement, material, or information provided if such discovery request was asked of, or any
18 statement, material, or information provided was made by a witness present and testifying in court. All
19 such objections are reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

20 10. Meta's responses are made without waiving or intending to waive (a) the right to object on
21 any ground to the use of these responses, or their subject matter, in any subsequent proceeding or the trial
22 of this or any other action; (b) the right to object to a demand for further responses to this or any other
23 discovery involving or related to the subject matter of the Requests; and (c) the right at any time to revise,
24 correct, add to, or clarify any or all of the objections.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 11. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek information protected from
2 disclosure by any applicable privilege, the work-product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege,
3 immunity, or protection. Any disclosure of privileged or protected information is not intended to waive
4 those privileges or protections. To the extent Meta withholds information it has identified in response to
5 any Request, based on such an assertion of privilege, Meta will produce a privilege log pursuant to the
6 Privilege Log Protocol entered by the Court.
7

8 12. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent they call for information that is outside of its
9 possession, custody, or control and to the extent they seek information that is already in Plaintiffs'
10 possession, custody, or control; information that is a matter of public record; or information that is
11 available to Plaintiffs from public sources.
12

13 13. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent they seek information that is not relevant to any
14 party's claim or defense and/or are overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of
15 the case. In responding to these Requests, Meta does not concede the relevance or admissibility of the
16 information sought and reserves the right to seek to exclude such information.
17

18 14. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek information relating to users
19 located outside of the United States and/or features not available in the United States. Meta will provide
20 information relating only to users in the United States.
21

22 15. Meta's interpretation of Plaintiffs' Requests are indicated in its specific responses and
23 objections to each Request, including whether Meta objects on the grounds that the Request is vague,
24 ambiguous, and/or overly broad.
25

26 16. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek documents or other materials that
27 are not related to Youth Users or implicated features or addictive/compulsive use or harms to mental
28 health. Meta will generally interpret Youth Users mean users that are at least 13 years of age and under
the age of 18. Wherever in the specific responses below Meta agrees to produce document(s) to the extent
4

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 “relevant” to the issues in the case, Meta defines “relevant” for the purposes of these responses only to
2 mean documents that discuss Youth Users or one or more of the implicated features or
3 addictive/compulsive use or harms to mental health. Meta reserves its rights to assert a more targeted
4 scope of relevance for the purposes of dispositive motions and trial.

5 17. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek information regarding users under
6 the age of 13, as Meta does not permit individuals under 13 to access Facebook or Instagram.

8 18. Meta objects to the Requests to the extent they are not sufficiently concise nor directed to
9 a single fact, and therefore are not a proper request for admission amenable to a reasonable admission or
10 denial. *See E & J Gallo Winery v. Encana Energy Servs., Inc.*, 2004 WL 7342780, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Sept.
11 16, 2004) (“Requests for admission must be ‘simple and concise and state facts singly, so that they can
12 easily and coherently be admitted or denied.’ . . . Requests for admission must be limited to singular
13 relevant facts . . . [and] ‘may not contain compound, conjunctive, or disjunctive (e.g., ‘and/or’)
14 statements.’”); *City of Colton v. Am. Promotional Events, Inc.*, 2012 WL 13013378, at *1 (C.D. Cal.
15 Jan. 27, 2012) (“Case law requires that a request for admission should be ‘phrased simply and directly so
16 that it can be admitted or denied without explanation.’” (quoting *Safeco of America v. Rawstron*, 181.441
17 (C.D. Cal. 1998)); *Runway TV, LLC v. De Gray*, 2020 WL 6712253, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2020)
18 (“Requests for admissions are required to be simple and direct, and should be limited to singular relevant
19 facts. . . . [O]bjections to requests for admissions as vague, ambiguous, overbroad and burdensome [have
20 been] sustained where requests for admissions not simple concise statements of fact, but instead contained
21 vague and ambiguous wording that did not allow defendants fairly to admit or deny”) (quoting *Dubin v.
22 E.F. Hutton Group, Inc.*, 125 F.R.D. 372, 376 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

25 19. Meta objects to Definitions No. 2 (“Facebook Platform” or “Facebook”) and No. 3
26 (“Instagram Platform” or “Instagram”) as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not
27 proportional to the needs of the case under the standard set forth in FRCP Rule 26(b)(1) to the extent they
28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 include versions of Facebook and Instagram that were not publicly released or publicly available during
2 the Relevant Time Period, as well as features or surfaces not publicly released or publicly available during
3 the Relevant Time Period. Meta interprets these terms as referring only to publicly available versions
4 during the Relevant Time Period, and conducted its investigation and preparation accordingly.
5

6 20. Meta objects generally to Definition No. 5 (“User”) as vague, ambiguous, overbroad,
7 unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent it includes users of any
8 Meta social media service other than Facebook and Instagram, or individuals without an account on either
9 platform, or individuals under thirteen (13) years of age, or users that are eighteen (18) years of age or
10 older. Meta interprets this term as referring only to individuals with an account on either Facebook or
11 Instagram, as Facebook and Instagram are the only social media services at issue in Plaintiffs’ operative
12 complaint, and to individuals at least 13 years of age, as individuals under 13 years of age are not permitted
13 users of Facebook and Instagram, and conducted its investigation and preparation accordingly. Meta will
14 generally interpret the term “Youth Users” to mean Users that are at least 13 years of age and under the
15 age of 18.
16

17 21. Meta objects generally to Definition No. 6 (“You,” “Your,” “Defendant,” or “Defendants”)
18 as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the
19 extent it includes entities and individuals over which Meta exercises no control or includes subsidiaries or
20 affiliate services that are not at issue in this action. Meta construes this term as limited to Facebook and
21 Instagram, the only platforms at issue in Plaintiffs’ operative complaint.
22

23 22. Meta objects to Definition No. 7 (“Your Platforms”) as vague, ambiguous, overbroad,
24 unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent it includes versions of
25 Instagram or Facebook’s platforms not publicly released or publicly available during the Relevant Time
26 Period, as well as features or surfaces not publicly released or publicly available during the Relevant Time
27 Period.
28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 23. Meta objects to the characterization of the “Relevant Time Period” specified in Instruction
2 No. 5 (“2012 to the present”). Since the term is overbroad and ambiguous, and unless otherwise specified
3 in response to a particular Request, Meta will construe the relevant time period as the period(s) ordered
4 by Judge Kang in DMO No. 7 (i.e., January 1, 2012 – April 1, 2024 generally with other time periods for
5 specific features).

6 24. By responding to a Request with a defined term, Meta is not by implication agreeing with
7 any such definition.

8 25. Meta is willing to meet and confer concerning the Requests and these responses.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SPECIFIC REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:**

13 Admit that you collect personal information from persons who visit Facebook or Instagram pages
14 without having logged into a Facebook or Instagram account.

RESPONSE:

16 Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though
17 fully set forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional
18 to the needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time
19 Period or Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined
20 phrases “collect,” “personal information,” “Facebook or Instagram pages,” and “without having logged
21 into” renders this Request vague and ambiguous. Meta interprets “personal information” to be
22 individually identifiable information about an individual collected online.

23 Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows:
24 Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta collects certain information from people who visit
25 Facebook or Instagram webpages without logging into an account, as described at
26 [https://www.facebook.com/privacy/policy?annotations\[0\]=1.ex.41-InformationWeCollectIf](https://www.facebook.com/privacy/policy?annotations[0]=1.ex.41-InformationWeCollectIf). Meta

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 reserves the right to supplement or modify its response based upon documents or information identified
2 over the course of discovery.

3 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:**

4 Admit that you collect personal information from users who use Facebook and Instagram while
5 logged in to their accounts.

6 **RESPONSE:**

7 Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set
8 forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the
9 needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or
10 Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined phrases
11 “collect,” “personal information” and “while logged in to their accounts” renders this Request vague and
12 ambiguous. Meta further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous on the ground that its use of the
13 term “users” and “personal information” does not exclude individuals under the age of 13. Meta interprets
14 “user” as referring only to individuals with an account on either Facebook or Instagram, as Facebook and
15 Instagram are the only social media services at issue in Plaintiffs’ operative complaint, and to individuals
16 at least 13 years of age, as individuals under 13 years of age are not permitted users of Facebook and
17 Instagram. Meta interprets “personal information” to be individually identifiable information about an
18 individual collected online.

19 Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows:
20 Except as expressly admitted below, Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta admits that it
21 collects certain information from users in the manner described in its Privacy Policy
22 <https://www.facebook.com/privacy/policy>. Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response
23 based upon documents or information identified over the course of discovery.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:**

Admit that Facebook and/or Instagram accounts linked together through the Linked Accounts feature belong to the same individual user.

RESPONSE:

Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined phrase “Linked Accounts feature” renders this Request vague and ambiguous. Meta will interpret “Linked Accounts feature” to refer to features, other than Meta’s Accounts Center as described at <https://www.meta.com/help/accounts-center/?srsltid=AfmBOorWeY0fGtzJXgA4c-9eWCG94Lj2riXMVSSs4gyGvv44LYB6NVdHW>, that allowed individuals to take affirmative steps to connect Facebook or Instagram accounts across platforms.

Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows: Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response based upon documents or information identified over the course of discovery.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that Facebook and/or Instagram accounts linked together through the Accounts Center feature belong to the same individual user.

RESPONSE:

Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or Youth users.

Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows: Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response based upon documents or information identified over the course of discovery.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:**

Admit that each Facebook and/or Instagram account that is hard-linked to other accounts belongs to the same individual user as the accounts to which it is hard-linked.

RESPONSE:

Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined phrase “hard-linked” renders this Request vague and ambiguous. Meta will interpret “hard-linked” to refer to features, other than Meta’s Accounts Center as described at <https://www.meta.com/help/accounts-center/?srsltid=AfmBOorWeY0fGtzJXgA4c-9eWCG94Lj2riXMVSs4gyGvv44LYB6NVdHW>, that allowed individuals to take affirmative steps to connect Facebook or Instagram accounts across platforms.

Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows: Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response based upon documents or information identified over the course of discovery.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that Facebook and/or Instagram accounts that you connect using “soft-match” techniques likely belong to the same individual user.

RESPONSE:

Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined phrases “soft-match’ techniques” and “likely” renders this Request vague and ambiguous. Meta will interpret “soft-match techniques” to refer to “the use of signals to predict that certain Instagram or Facebook accounts may potentially belong to the same individual or affiliated individuals.”

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows:
2 Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response
3 based upon documents or information identified over the course of discovery.

4 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:**

5 Admit that Facebook and/or Instagram accounts that you connect using “soft-match” techniques
6 belong to the same individual user.

7 **RESPONSE:**

8 Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set
9 forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the
10 needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or
11 Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined phrase “soft-
12 match” techniques” renders this Request vague and ambiguous. Meta will interpret “soft-match
13 techniques” to refer to “the use of signals to predict that certain Instagram or Facebook accounts may
14 potentially belong to the same individual or affiliated individuals.”

15 Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows:
16 Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response
17 based upon documents or information identified over the course of discovery.

18 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:**

19 Admit that you use personal information collected from users of your Platforms to develop, train,
20 or validate algorithms or models.

21 **RESPONSE:**

22 Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set
23 forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the
24 needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or
25 Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined terms and
26 phrases “develop,” “train,” “validate,” and “algorithms or models” renders this Request vague and
27 ambiguous. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined phrase
28 “personal information” renders this Request vague and ambiguous. Meta interprets “personal

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 information” to be individually identifiable information about an individual collected online. Meta further
2 objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, compound, and not proportional to the needs of
3 the case in that it seeks information regarding “algorithms or models” without specifying which algorithms
4 and which models are being referenced, by name or type or purpose, and without regard to whether such
5 algorithms or models are relevant to the issues in the Complaint. Given that numerous algorithms and
6 numerous models are potentially within the scope of the Request, many of which algorithms and models
7 are highly complex, with differing inputs and uses of data, yet no particular algorithm or model is specified
8 in the Request, the Request is not sufficiently concise nor directed to a single fact, indeed is hugely
9 compound, and therefore is not a proper request for admission amenable to a reasonable admission or
10 denial. *See E & J Gallo Winery v. Encana Energy Servs., Inc.*, 2004 WL 7342780, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Sept.
11 16, 2004) (“Requests for admission must be ‘simple and concise and state facts singly, so that they can
12 easily and coherently be admitted or denied.’ . . . Requests for admission must be limited to singular
13 relevant facts . . . [and] ‘may not contain compound, conjunctive, or disjunctive (e.g., ‘and/or’)
14 statements.’”); *City of Colton v. Am. Promotional Events, Inc.*, 2012 WL 13013378, at *1 (C.D. Cal.
15 Jan. 27, 2012) (“Case law requires that a request for admission should be ‘phrased simply and directly so
16 that it can be admitted or denied without explanation.’” (quoting *Safeco of America v. Rawstron*, 181441
17 (C.D. Cal. 1998)); *Runway TV, LLC v. De Gray*, 2020 WL 6712253, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2020)
18 (“Requests for admissions are required to be simple and direct, and should be limited to singular relevant
19 facts. . . . [O]bjections to requests for admissions as vague, ambiguous, overbroad and burdensome [have
20 been] sustained where requests for admissions not simple concise statements of fact, but instead contained
21 vague and ambiguous wording that did not allow defendants fairly to admit or deny”) (quoting *Dubin v.
22 E.F. Hutton Group, Inc.*, 125 F.R.D. 372, 376 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

23 Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows:
24 Meta DENIES this Request for Admission. Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response
25 based upon documents or information identified over the course of discovery. Meta is available to meet
26 and confer with Plaintiffs to discuss a potential narrowing of the Request.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that, when you identify a user as likely being a Child and schedule the user's data for deletion, you do not determine whether the user's data was used to develop, train, or validate any of your algorithms or models.

RESPONSE:

Meta incorporates its Responses and Objections to All Requests by reference as though fully set forth herein. Meta objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case to the extent that it seeks information without limitation to the Relevant Time Period or Youth users. Meta further objects to this Request on the ground that its use of the undefined phrases "develop, train, or validate," "likely being a Child," and "algorithms or models" renders this Request vague and ambiguous. Meta further objects to this Request as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case in that it seeks information regarding "any of your algorithms or models" without specifying which algorithms and which models are being referenced, by name or type or purpose, and without regard to whether such algorithms or models are relevant to the issues in the Complaint. Given that numerous algorithms and numerous models are potentially within the scope of the Request, many of which algorithms and models are highly complex, with differing inputs and uses of data, yet no particular algorithm or model is specified in the Request, the Request is not sufficiently concise nor directed to a single fact, indeed is hugely compound, and therefore is not a proper request for admission amenable to a reasonable admission or denial. Meta further objects to the use of the term "Child" to the extent it suggests that individuals under the age of 13 are permitted Users on Facebook or Instagram, which they are not.

Based on, subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows:
Meta DENIES this Request for Admission.

Meta collects and utilizes certain user data for the purposes described in its Privacy Policy, which can be found at <https://www.facebook.com/privacy/policy>, including to find and address violations of Meta's terms and policies. Meta does not knowingly use data collected from individuals in the United States under the age of 13 for any purpose. When Meta deletes a user's account, Meta's systems delete,

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 as defined in 16 CFR § 312.2, all data associated with the relevant account. The process for deleting the
2 data can take up to 90 days.

3 Meta reserves the right to supplement or modify its response based upon documents or information
4 identified over the course of discovery.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)

1 Dated: March 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP4 /s/ Ashley M. Simonsen5 Ashley M. Simonsen (State Bar No. 275203)
6 asimonsen@cov.com
7 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
8 1999 Avenue of the Stars
9 Los Angeles, CA 90067
10 Telephone: (424) 332-4800
11 Facsimile: +1 (424) 332-474912 Emily Johnson Henn (State Bar No. 269482)
13 ehenn@cov.com
14 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
15 3000 El Camino Real
16 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor
17 Palo Alto, CA 94306
18 Telephone: +1 (650) 632-4700
19 Facsimile: +1 (650) 632-480020 Phyllis A. Jones, *pro hac vice*
21 pajones@cov.com
22 Paul W. Schmidt, *pro hac vice*
23 pschmidt@cov.com
24 Michael X. Imbroscio, *pro hac vice*
25 mimbroscio@cov.com
26 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
27 One CityCenter
28 850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Telephone: +1 (202) 662-6000
Facsimile: +1 (202) 662-6291*29 Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a
30 Facebook, Inc.; Facebook Holdings, LLC; Facebook
31 Operations, LLC; Facebook Payments, Inc.;
32 Facebook Technologies, LLC; Instagram, LLC;
33 Siculus, Inc.; and Mark Elliot Zuckerberg*

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served via electronic mail on
3 March 17, 2025 on the following:

4 PSCServiceMDL3047@motleyrice.com
5 ~SnapMDL3047JCCP5255@mto.com
6 john.beisner@skadden.com
7 YT-MDL3047JCCP5255@list.wsgr.com
8 YT-ML-MDL3047JCCP5255@morganlewis.com
9 W&C-YT-PL-Cases@wc.com
10 TikTokMDL3047JCCP5255@faegredrinker.com
11 TikTokMDL3047JCCP5255@kslaw.com
12 SM.MDLAGLeads@coag.gov
13 mdl3047coleadfirms@listserv.motleyrice.com
14 Defendants-MDL3047JCCP5255@skaddenlists.com

15
16
17 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 17, 2025.

18 DATED: March 17, 2025

By: /s/ Ashley M. Simonsen

19 Ashley M. Simonsen